Information Technology Executive Committee (ITEC)
Meeting Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2005
2:00 – 4:00 PM
President’s North Conference Room, Administration Building

Action Items
1. IT Governance (Attachment A) – Burns
   a. Approved unanimously, subject to the wording change of VPRIT to Senior Vice President (SP).
2. CSU IT Security Policy (Attachment B) – Burns
   a. Approved unanimously.
3. Expanding the “experiment” of the use of student ID photos to the IMP (Attachment C describes the status of the experiment in the Student Recreation Center) – Wagner
   a. Approved unanimously.

Discussion Items
1. ITFS status and prospects (Attachment D) – Burns
   a. ITEC’s advice on proceeding with operating our own ITFS equipment was sought. Two ITEC members were generally in favor of proceeding, and two ITEC members were ambivalent. Burns was instructed to approach Sprint and see what their latest position on this was. Burns did so, and determined that Sprint is offering to sell ITFS equipment at their cost to institutions, and lease “back” unused spectrum from those operating this equipment. A meeting with Sprint is in the process of being scheduled. Also, a proposal was submitted to the University technology Fee Advisory Board for the additional $40,000 it would take to get started with NextNet equipment. The UTFAB reviewed the proposal and has asked to hear a full presentation on Tuesday, March 22, 2005.
2. Charges for Technology Scholarships (Attachment E) – Burns
   a. Discussed. Burns was requested to obtain feedback from the Deans and CASA through their IT administrators. Burns did so, and received the following feedback:
      i. 6 votes for retaining the scholarships and aggregating them into larger awards,
      ii. 2 votes for eliminating the scholarships and lowering the Charges by 10%, and
      iii. 1 vote for the “original model” of refunding the Charge to 10% of the students based upon need, but open to change.
   b. On March 3, Burns also met with the Charges for Technology Central Committee, consisting of student and staff representatives from the colleges and CASA, and received the following feedback from the students:
      i. 5 votes for retaining the scholarships and aggregating them into larger awards, and
      ii. 4 votes eliminating the scholarships and lowering the Charges by 10%.
      iii. A follow-up meeting on this topic has been scheduled for Thursday, March 10, 2005.